Can we see inflationary tensor non-Gaussianities?

Eugene A. Lim (Cambridge) w/ Peter Adshead (Chicago) PRD D82 024023 (2010) w/ Peter Adshead, Niayesh Afshordi (PI/Waterloo) (WIP) No.

The End.

What are 3-pt correlations?

Part I

Part II

Part III



This talk : Direct Detection of SGW with interferometers

Unresolved Sources = Stochastic GW Stochastic : they "look" just like noise Characterize them via correlation functions Power Spectrum : $\langle h(f)h(f) \rangle$ $\Omega_{gw} = \frac{\rho_{gw}}{\rho_g} \qquad \rho_{gw} = \frac{M_p^2}{32\pi} \langle \dot{h}_{ij} \dot{h}^{ij} \rangle$ Convention : energy density Fourier space $\langle h(f)h(f')\rangle = \frac{3H_0^2}{20\pi^2}f^{-3}\Omega_{gw}(f)\delta(f-f')$



(1) Noise dominated

n(t) ≫ s(t)

(2) Cannot see individual gravitons of SGW
(3) Only see time-averaged correlations

(4) Integrated over all sky

Part I : Inflationary Tensor 3-pt

Pure gravity tree-level self-interaction



$$t_{ijk} \equiv k'^i \delta_{jl} + k''^j \delta_{il} + k^l \delta_{ij}$$

Maldacena (2002) Maldacena + Pimentel (2011)

$$\langle hhh \rangle \propto \left(\frac{H}{M_p}\right)^4$$

Tensor Non-Gaussianities

3-pt GW Estimator

Adshead + Lim (2009)

Direct Detection with 3 Interferometers.

Peak Sensitivity FrequencySensitivity of Detectorsto Triangles (Filtering) f_*



LISA will take more than a billion years to detect GUT scale inflation *power spectrum*, so is hopeless here.

LISA (20\$\$)

3-pt GW Estimator

Adshead + Lim (2009)

Direct Detection with 3 Interferometers.

Peak Sensitivity FrequencySensitivity of Detectorsto Triangles (Filtering) f_*



BBO : GUT Scale power spectrum ~ 5 years. Tensor 3-pt : 10^6 years $T \propto (N(f_*))^6$

BBO (20??)

"SuperBBO": improve sensitivity $N(f_*) \rightarrow 10^{-1}N(f_*)$ means we can detect GUT scale tensor 3-pt in single digit years

Part II : 3-pt SGW from scalar sources







2-pt and 3-pt generated at 1-loop by *identical* interaction (Schematic!)

tensor

Gravitational Bremsstrahlung

 $\langle \zeta \zeta h \rangle$

Preheating, Textures/ Global Phase transitions End of Inflation Preheating : causal process at length scale H_{end}^{-1} , highly correlated within this scale.

But our SGW sky is composed of $(H_{TeV}/H_0)^2 \sim 10^{80}$ uncorrelated patches!

Fun Fact : the preheating SGW sky is even more Gaussian than inflationary ones.

Part III : Gravity - Scalar Correlations

w/ P. Adshead + N. Ashfordi (WIP)

CMB Anisotropy Map

SGW Anisotropy Map

 $\delta_{GW,\hat{\Omega}}(f_*) \equiv \frac{P(f_*) - P(f_*,\hat{\Omega})}{\bar{P}(f_*)}$

tensor`, /scalar

Part III

tensor

 $\langle \zeta hh \rangle$ Cross-correlating tensors with scalar See also Dimastrogiovanni et al (2007) for 1-loop corrections $C_{GW\times T}(f_*, l) \equiv \langle \delta_{GW,lm}(f_*)\delta_{T,lm} \rangle$ $\sim \langle \zeta hh \rangle$ $\delta P_{GW}(f_*; \mathbf{x}) = n_T(f_*)\bar{P}_{GW}(f_*)\zeta(\mathbf{x}) \sim 10^{-7}$ Maldacena (2002)

Per frequency f_* one can think of a graviton emitting hypersurface, i.e. its horizon reentry at z_* , with coordinate **X** Due to scalar perturbation $\zeta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_*)$, the crossing time is different resulting in SGW anisotropy.

Part III : Gravity - Scalar Correlations

w/P. Adshead + N. Ashfordi (WIP)



What scales are correlated between CMB and Z_* surfaces?

Correlated around
$$l_* \leq \frac{d_A}{\Delta_*} = 33$$

I.e. around 1 square degree scale on the CMB sky : requires SGW anisotropy map to this resolution.

Part III : Gravity - Scalar Correlations

w/ P. Adshead + N. Ashfordi (WIP)

Constructing SGW Anisotropy Map

Hard and Fast estimate : assume BBO-class detector needs 1 year to detect. SGW.

beam size = $\frac{4\pi^2}{\text{total patches}}$

total patches ~ 40000 for 1 sq deg

Chop up the sky into 1 square degree sized patches : use a beamed SGW interferometer.

 $SNR_{patch} \approx \frac{SNR_{sky}}{\sqrt{40000}}$ Time $\propto SNR^2 \sim 40000$ years Need to know SGW power per patch to at least 10^{-5} or $5 \sim 6\sigma$ so need $\sim 10^7$ years. posteriori Motivation aka
Why?? Eugene!?• Tests of non-Inflationary generators of SGW.• g. Global Phase Transitions generation of SGW $k^6 \langle hhh \rangle_{\Delta} = C_{NL} (k^3 \langle hh \rangle)^{3/2}$

 $C_{NL} \sim 1 \ vs \ C_{NL} \sim H_{inf}/M_p$ (inflation)

posteriori Motivation aka Why?? Eugene!? Tests of non-Inflationary generators of SGW. e.g. Global Phase Transitions generation of SGW

 $k^6 \langle hhh \rangle_{\Delta} = \mathcal{C}_{NL} (k^3 \langle hh \rangle)^{3/2}$

Krauss (1992), Jones-Smith et al (2007), Fenu et al (2009)

 $C_{NL} \sim 1 \ vs \ C_{NL} \sim H_{inf}/M_p$ (inflation)

 It is interesting that we can construct correlators between CMB and *direct detection*_SGW : more tests of inflation!

posteriori Motivation aka Why?? Eugene!? Tests of non-Inflationary generators of SGW.

e.g. Global Phase Transitions generation of SGW $k^{6}\langle hhh \rangle_{\Delta} = C_{NL}(k^{3}\langle hh \rangle)^{3/2}$ Krauss (1992), Jones-Smith et al (2007), Fenu et al (2009)

 $C_{NL} \sim 1 \ vs \ C_{NL} \sim H_{inf}/M_p$ (inflation)

• It is interesting that we can construct correlators between CMB and *direct detection*. SGW : more tests of inflation!



posteriori Motivation aka Why?? Eugene!? Tests of non-Inflationary generators of SGW.

e.g. Global Phase Transitions generation of SGW $k^{6}\langle hhh \rangle_{\Delta} = C_{NL}(k^{3}\langle hh \rangle)^{3/2}$ Krauss (1992), Jones-Smith et al (2007), Fenu et al (2009)

 $C_{NL} \sim 1 \ vs \ C_{NL} \sim H_{inf}/M_p$ (inflation)

- It is interesting that we can construct correlators between CMB and *direct detection*_SGW : more tests of inflation!
- Somebody gotta do it.

